

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/751,284	12/30/2003	Tiao-Hung Hsiao	B-5337 621606-5	2377
36716	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PA		RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A		
	RE BOULEVARD, SUI ES, CA 90036-5679	11E 2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2879	
			D. TE. M. H. ED. A. 193 1930	_

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/751,284	HSIAO, TIAO-HUNG	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the middle patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute. cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u> December 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims		• .	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt to oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ he drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
•	the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not i	eceivea.	
			·	
Attachment				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(r No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/751,284

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 5-9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al (US 20030146446).

In regard to claims 1 and 2, Yamazaki et al ('446) teach an organic electroluminescent display device (figures 10 and 18), comprising: a glass substrate (11; paragraphs 96, 140, and 141); an optic-compensation film of transparent dielectric material formed on the surface of the glass substrate that is made from silicon nitride (12; paragraphs 140, 96, and 141); an anode layer formed on the optic-compensation film (25; paragraph 103); a laminated body of organic material formed on the anode layer (27; paragraphs 103 and 2); and a cathode layer formed on the laminated body (28; paragraph 106).

In regard to claims 5 and 13, Yamazaki et al ('446) teach the anode layer is ITO (paragraph 6).

In regard to claims 6 and 14, Yamazaki et al ('446) teach forming a hole-injecting layer (802; figure 8a; paragraph 77) formed on the anode layer (801); an organic luminescent material layer formed on the hole-injecting layer (804; paragraphs 77 and 2); and an electron-injecting layer formed on the organic luminescent material layer (806).

In regard to claims 7 and 15, Yamazaki et al ('446) teach the organic electroluminescent display device is an OLED device or a PLED device (paragraph 2).

In regard to claims 8 and 9, Yamazaki et al ('446) teach a method of forming an organic electroluminescent display device (figures 18 and 10A), comprising: providing a glass substrate (11; paragraphs
140-141 and 95-108); forming an optic-compensation film of transparent dielectric material on the
surface of the glass substrate, made from silicon nitride (paragraph 96) in which the transparent nature of
the optic-compensation film is not limited to light of a specific wavelength made from silicon nitride (12;
paragraphs 140, 96, and 14; the Examiner notes that Yamazaki et al do not specifically state that the
optic-compensation film is not limited to light of a specific wavelength, however, since it is transparent
'to visible light' as understood by paragraphs 140, 141, 96 as well as figure 18, therefore it is not limited
to a specific wavelength but all visible light); forming an anode layer (25) on the optic-compensation
film; forming a laminated body of organic material on the anode layer (27); and forming a cathode layer
on the laminated body (28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2879

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al (US 20030146446) in view of Yamazaki et al (US 6815723).

In regard to claims 3 and 10, Yamazaki ('446) is silent regarding the limitation of the optic-compensation film is 100-3000 angstroms thick. Yamazaki et al ('723) teach an optic compensation film made from silicon nitrate that is 100-3000 angstroms thick (column 14 lines 51-58) in order to for light to pass through (column 10 lines 4-22). Hence it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the display of Yamazaki et al ("446) with the SiNx thickness of Yamazaki et al ('723). Motivation to combine would be to allow more light to pass through the display.

In regard to claims 4 and 11-12, Yamazaki et al ('446) are silent regarding the limitations that the optic compensation film promotes transparency of red light to approximately 90% thereby increasing the transparency of red light. However, since Yamazaki et al ('446) in view of Yamazaki et al ('723) teach a display with a transparent silicon nitrate film that is between 100-3000 angstroms thick, it would have naturally promoted the transparency of the red light to approximately 90% thereby increasing the transparency of red light, since Yamazaki et al ('446) in view of ('723) meet all the claimed recitations of the final product manufactured. Hence, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the display of Yamazaki et al ("446) with the SiNx thickness of Yamazaki et al ('723), thereby naturally obtaining a transparency of red light to approximately 90%

Application/Control Number: 10/751,284

Art Unit: 2879

thereby increasing the transparency of red light. Motivation to combine would be to allow more light to

pass through the display.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Elizabeth A. Rielley whose telephone number is 571-272-2117. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

It a with Killery Elizabeth Rielley

Examiner Art Unit 2879 MARICELI SANTIAGO

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER